Report from the FP7 project:

Assess Inquiry in Science, Technology and Mathematics Education



Matrix of educational system factors influencing student assessment methods in science, technology and mathematics education

David Cross, Michel Grangeat, Laurent Lima & Nadia Nakhili

Delivery date	October 2013
Deliverable number	D3.3
Lead participant	Univ. Grenoble Alpes
	Laboratoire des sciences de l'éducation
Contact person	Michel Grangeat (michel.grangeat@ujf-grenoble.fr)
Dissemination level	PU = Public

This deliverable presents the matrix elaborated by the LSE-UJF team in order to characterize the partners' educational systems in regards to factors influencing student assessment methods in science, technology and mathematics education.

Dimensions	Variables
1- System organisation and management	Centralization of educational system
	Curriculum
	Funding and resources management
	Teaching profession
	Structure of educational system
	age for choosing a career track
	number of students per class
	ratio public/private schools
	local targeting of resources
	school performance monitoring
2- Schools organisation and	Teacher collaboration
management	dedicated in-school structure
	dedicated time to collaborate
	teacher small groups
	exchanges / student learning and engagement
	Leadership
	teacher /decision making at school level
	teacher /decision making at regional level
	students, parents, and community /school
	school leaders /instruction improvement
	school leaders / teacher evaluation
	school leaders / teacher development program
	Student performances monitoring
	school data collecting for monitoring student progress
	teacher recording of student progress for internal use
	record / student difficulties (nature and recommendations)



3- Teacher education and	Education (initial)
3- Teacher education and professional development	
	teacher education level (required & actual)
	model of initial teacher education
	part of ECTS / educational courses
	part of IBST (actual & 15 years ago)
	part of FA/SA (actual & 15 years ago)
	Training (CPD)
	in-service education mandatory
	CPD programs (design & evaluation)
	part of IBST (actual & 15 years ago)
	part of FA/SA (actual & 15 years ago)
	Teacher population characteristics
	ratio age
	ratio experience length
4- Science education	Role of competence model
	competence model explicit or implicit
	specific competencies related to IBSTME
	competencies related to Formative Assessment
	Importance of science and math subject in the curriculum
	STM: separate or integrated subjects
	amount of time allocated
	STM connection with other subjects
	Importance of IBSTME
	IBSTME mentioned in STM curriculum
	IBSTME mentioned in STM textbook p
	IBSTME resources for teachers
	part of inquiry based methods in science teaching
	part of practical work in science teaching
5- Form of student	Day-to-day assessment
assessment	designing, performing and correcting day-to-day students' assessment
	students' progress communication
	students involvement in assessment of their own (and



others') performance
dedicated meetings for helping students and parents to make sense of the assessment information
consequences of evaluation on students' career
Summative assessment
designing, performing and correcting SA
teachers involvement in SA design
consequences of evaluation on students' career
Students' career and grade retention
grade retention allowance
grade retention limitation
grade retention frequency
coping with students who encounter difficulties

